

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/635,762	08/06/2003	David S. Abdallah	PRIV-003/01US 307640-2004	1715	
22903 7590 COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP A'TIN: PATENT GROUP			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			GERGISO, TECHANE		
Suite 1100 777 - 6th Street, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20001			2437		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/09/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/635,762	ABDALLAH ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	TECHANE J. GERGISO	2437			

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 21 January 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

- 1. \(\times \) The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
 - a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 - b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 - Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(d).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

The Notice of Appeal was filed on ______ A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

- 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
 - (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
 - (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
 - appeal; and/or
 - (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
- 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
- 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____
- 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of
- 7. \(\subsection \) For purposes of appear, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\subsection \) will not be entered, or b) \(\subsection \) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
 The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
 - Claim(s) allowed: _
 - Claim(s) objected to: ___
 - Claim(s) rejected: 15-21, 23-29, 32-36 and 38-52.
 - Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 OFR 1.116(e).
- 9. I The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

- 11. 🖾 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

 <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>
- 12. Note the attached Information *Disclosure Statement(s)*. (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). ______13. Other:

/Emmanuel L. Moise/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2437

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner flust considered the After Final filled on January 21, 2009 in response to the Final Office Action maled on August 20, 2008. The applicant argust the disclosure supports the following features of claim 23 and similarly claim 34 recited as "sending the digital certificate to the personal identification device such that functionality of the personal identification device is disabled except that the personal identification device on configured to send the digital certificate to an enrollment party during future enrollment" to overcome the 35 USC 112, first paragraph rejection, rejection. The applicant resides the following section from the disclosure to support the applicants argument "The Applicants respectfully disagree. In the Advisory Action, the Examiner's reference to the specification for support for disabling functionality within the personal identification device only refers to the first portion of the last sentence of paragraph [0081] of the specification but ignores the scene portion of the sentence. The sentence recites, in its entirety, that "at this point all functionality within the personal identification device is disabled, such that it is in a state waiting for future enrollment (set of 107).

The examiner disagrees with the applicant's argument and analysis because "disabling all functionality within the personal identification device except field device except that the personal identification device is configured to send the digital certification array during future enrollment" as it is claimed is different in scope to be support by the disclosure recited as "disabling all functionality within the personal identification device such that it is in a state waiting for future enrollment." For at least the above reason, the actionant's argument is not persuasive to overcome the 35 USC 112, first paragraph rejection, rejection and the prior art rejection and place the claims in condition for allowance.